Page 1 of 2

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

DOCKET NO.: 2008-1188-MSW-E TCEQ ID: RN105225106 CASE NO.: 34227 RESPONDENT NAME: JOE E. PANAGOPOULOS DBA METRO MATERIALS

ORDER TYPE:		
1660 AGREED ORDER	X FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	·
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
X_MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
TYPE OF OPERATION: brush, mulch, ro SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Or mulch at the Site. There is no record of addir INTERESTED PARTIES: The complainant ED and the Respondent expressed an interest COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Stephanie F Ms. Lena Robert TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. Joe Respondent: Mr. Joe E. Panagopo	_ No ne complaint was received, alleging that the I tional pending enforcement actions regarding the nt has not indicated a desire to protest this action	Respondent was accumulating a large pile of his facility location. In or speak at Agenda. No one other than the open of the comments were received. Respondent was accumulating a large pile of his facility location. On or speak at Agenda. No one other than the open of the comments were received. Respondent was accumulating a large pile of his facility location.

RESPONDENT NAME: JOE E. PANAGOPOULOS DBA METRO MATERIALS DOCKET NO.: 2008-1188-MSW-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$8,625	Ordering Provisions:
X Complaint Routine X Enforcement Follow-up Records Review Date of Complaint Relating to this Case:	Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited OrderFinancial Inability to PaySEP Conditional Offset Total Paid/Due to General Revenue: \$260/\$8,365	The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has taken the following corrective measures: 1. On January 11, 2009, submitted a fire prevention and suppression plan to local fire
June 26, 2008 Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: June 27, 2008	The Respondent paid \$260 of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of \$8,365 shall be paid in 35 monthly installments of \$239 each.	officials. 2. On March 16, 2009, submitted and received approval for a closure cost estimate.
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: July 17, 2008	Site Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor	The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
Background Facts: The EDPRP was filed on December 15, 2008. The EDFARP was filed on December 30, 2008. The Respondent filed an answer and the case was referred to SOAH. Settlement was achieved and the Agreed Order was signed on September 1, 2009.	Person Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor Major Source: Yes X No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	Immediately, cease accepting and processing any municipal solid waste at the Facility until in compliance with this Order. Within 15 days, submit a notice of intent to
Current Compliance Status: The Respondent corrected violation Nos. 2 and 4. The Respondent has not submitted compliance certification for violation Nos. 1 and 3.	Findings Order Justification: Indifference to legal duty based on violation of a previous Agreed Order.	Within 13 days, submit a notice of intent to operate a recycling facility. 3. Within 30 days, submit documentation that demonstrates acceptable financial assurance for the closure of the Facility. Or
MSW: 1. Failed to obtain authorization to operate as a recycling facility or properly remove all brush, mulch, sand, and gravel from the Facility [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 328.4(a) and 328.5(a) and TCEQ Agreed Order Docket No. 2007-1182-MSW-E, Ordering Provision No. 2.b.].		 4. In lieu of Ordering Provision Nos. 2 and 3, within 30 days, remove all accumulated materials and dispose of them at an authorized facility. 5. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with either Ordering Provision Nos. 1, 2, and 3, or Ordering Provision Nos. 1 and 4.
2. Failed to provide a written cost estimate, in current dollars, showing the cost of hiring a third party to close the Facility by disposition of all processed and unprocessed materials in accordance with all applicable regulations [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(c)(1)].		
3. Failed to establish and maintain financial assurance for closure of the Facility [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(d)].		
4. Failed to have a fire prevention and suppression plan that shall be made available to the local fire prevention authority having jurisdiction over the Facility for review and coordination [30 Tex ADMIN, CODE § 328.5(h)].		

Fallow Revision 2 (See	Penalty Calculation	n Worksheet (P	· ·	ision June 12, 2008
Policy Revision 2 (Sep	tember 2002)		FOW Revi	Sion June 12, 2000
DATES Assigned PCW		EPA Due		
		and the state of t	SALVANIA SE NA SAL DANG UL SE JUSESSETTOS FUE S	Venil of Lawrence Production
	INFORMATION Joe E. Panagopoulos dba Metro Materials	TO THE SECTION OF THE RESIDENCE ASSOCIATION	+ (384 Main (585) (585 SEPAL) (5 (1885 SEPAL)	
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN105225106			
Facility/Site Region		Major/Minor Source	Minor	
		N	To a communication of processing the communication of the communication	n
CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No.	04007	No. of Violations	la	
	2008-1188-MSW-E		Findings	
	Municipal Solid Waste	Government/Non-Profit		
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	Ross Fife	
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum	\$10,000 EC's Team	Enforcement Team 7	
	Penalty Calcula	tion Section		
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penaltie		Subtotal 1	. \$5,000
AD HIGHNENTO ////		216 (30) \$5 (16) \$5 (6) \$6 (5) \$6 (5) \$10 (16) \$10 (6)	Palanandaku (1965) daruku 1960 ka kalendagan.	Managara de descripcios (2.000):
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by	the indicated percentage.		
Compliance Hist			otals 2, 3, & 7	\$1,000
Notes	One enhancement due to a previo	us agreed order.		
Culpability	No 0.0%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
	Pharman management appropriate some Service Committee of the Committee of	A STATE OF S		
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	culpability criteria.		
Good Faith Effor	t to Comply Total Adjustments		Subtotal 5	\$0
WAST TO THE TOTAL STATE OF THE T		i		
Economic Benef	it.	Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
radio New York of the Control of the Control of the New York of the Control of th	Total EB Amounts \$3,062 *Capped	at the Total EB \$ Amount	ettijas i nangaa oppusistaljas i	
Approx.	Cost of Compliance \$12,900			
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	C 1 7		inal Subtotal	\$6,000
SUM OF SUBJUSTAL			IIIai Subiolai	Ψ0,000
OTHER FACTORS A	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	43.8%	Adjustment	\$2,625
	ubtotal by the indicated percentage.		illistiche den set attropies (c.	
•	Recommended adjustment to capture the	ne avoided cost benefit		
Notes	associated with the viole			
		Cinal Da] naity Amount	\$8,625
		rillai rei	naity Amount	Ψ0,023
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DUISTMENT	Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$8,625
Aco Mila No ไม่เกิดโดยสมาชิก และไม่เกิดเลือด พิทิสเตลล กับแต่นกับใช้สิบใ	e and the state of		desimanted lief kantilederika. dii.	
DEFERRAL		0.0% Reduction	Adjustment	\$0
Reduces the Final Assessed Per	alty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g.	20 for 20% reduction.)	1	
	The first of the second of the			
Notes	No deferral is recommended for F	-inaings Orders.		
			1	
PAYARI E PENALTY				\$8.625

Screening Date 18-Jul-2008

Docket No. 2008-1188-MSW-E

PCW

Respondent Joe E. Panagopoulos dba Metro Materials

Case ID No. 34227

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105225106 Media [Statute] Municipal Solid Waste

Enf. Coordinator Ross Fife

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision June 12, 2008

ALLENANT CONSTRUCTOR OF THE PARTY	nnyke grækkelen som skrivetsky kist	Compliance History Worksheet	reno esto mandos sobra a Sir	entarena desta	
>> Comp		y Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	Card Decision
	NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%	
		Other written NOVs	0	0%	
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%	
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%	
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	.0	0%	
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
		Plea	se Enter Yes or No		
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	- No	0%	
***************************************	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
-		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
		Adjustment F	Percentage (S	ubtotal 2) [20%
>> Repe	at Violator (Su	ibtotal 3)			
	No	Adjustment F	Percentage (S	ubtotal 3) [0%
>> Comp	oliance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
	Average P	Performer Adjustment F	Percentage (S	ubtotal 7) [0%
>> Comp	pliance Histon	y Summary			
	Compliance History Notes	One enhancement due to a previous agreed order.			
		Total Adjustment Percentage	e (Subtotals	2, 3, & 7)[20%

Screening Date	18-Jul-2008 Docket No. 2008-1188-MSW-E	PCW
 If the statement and the statement of the st	Joe E. Panagopoulos dba Metro Materials	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.		PCW Revision June 12, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
 *** \$10000 deprises product and expension the product of the contract of the cont	Municipal Solid Waste	
Enf. Coordinator	Ross Fife	
Violation Number	1 1	
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin Code §§ 328.4(a), 328.5(a), and TCEQ Agreed Order Docket No. 1182-MSW-E, Ordering Provision 2.b	. 2007-
Violation Description	Failed to comply with Ordering Provision 2.b. of TCEQ Agreed Order Docket No. 1182-MSW-E. Specifically, the Respondent failed to obtain authorization to opera recycling facility or properly remove all brush, mulch, sand, and gravel from the F	ate as a
	Base	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property an	d Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	•
Release Actual	Major Moderate Minor	
Potential	Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	• ,
	x Percent 10%	
The second second		
Matrix	100% of the rule requirement was not met	
Notes		
<u> </u>	and the same of	
	Adjustment	\$9,000
		\$1,000
		V1,000
Violation Events		
Number of Vi	olation Events 2 125 Number of violation days	
	dally	
	monthly	
mark only one	quarterly x Violation Base	Penalty \$2,000
with an x	semiannual	
	annual	•
	single event:	
		20201
Two quarterly	events are recommended from the February 23, 2008 effective date to the July 18, screening date.	, 2008
	Solecting date.	
	0.00/	\$0
Good Faith Efforts to Comply	0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	φυ
G. C.	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
to go in and and and and and and and and and an	N/A X (mark with x)	
• enables	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this	
	Notes Violation.	
****	Violation S	Subtotal \$2,000
THE RESERVE THE THE STATE STATE AND A STAT		
Economic Benefit (EB) for this	violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimata	d EB Amount \$12 Violation Final Penal	ty Total \$3,450
Latiniate	Landa Caracteria de la constanta de la constan	
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	r limits) \$3,450
		224/495452 285935466 (2020) (2020) (2020)

	E	conomic l	3enefit W	orks/	heet		
Respondent	Joe E. Panago	poulos dba Metro M	laterials	00040300404444			
Case ID No.	34227						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	**						
	Municipal Solid	Waste					Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	EDCKSHNSERENSIN		METAGORISTA		GRANDO (OBSERVENCES	5.0	15
						herapene en	**************************************
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
ltem Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		1.107.1.2		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a 😥	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	i n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling			777 197 197	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$250	23-Feb-2008	1-Feb-2009	0.94	\$12	n/a	\$12
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs			Date is the esti	mated da entering	te of compliance.	the previous agreed	costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				1 0,00	Ψ0	Ι ΨΟ	40
		*					
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

Screening Date		Alima San Jahahahaha atau 18 ke 18 Julya 18	No. 2008-1188-MSW-E	PCW
	Joe E. Panagopoulos db	oa Metro Materials		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.				PCW Revision June 12, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.				
	Municipal Solid Waste			
Enf. Coordinator		•		
Violation Number	2			
Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Code §	328.5(c)(1)	
-				
Violation Description	third party to close the faccordance with all app	acility by disposition of all p	nt dollars, showing the cost o rocessed and unprocessed mally, the Respondent has not ate to the TCEQ.	naterials in 🛘
And the second			Bas	se Penalty \$10,000
		•		
>> Environmental, Property an	nd Human Health Ma Harm			
Release	Major Moderat			
OR Actual				
Potential			Percent 0%	
	enter a constitue de la consti	of the fermions of the state of		
>>Programmatic Matrix		t- Mi		
Falsification	Major Moderat	te Minor	Percent 10%	
	X		reicent 1070	
Matrix Notes	10	00% of the rule was not me		
<u> </u>				······································
			Adjustment	\$9,000
Taranta and Ta				\$1,000

Violation Events				
Number of Vi	olation Events	21	Number of violation day	/s
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event		Violation Bas	se Penalty \$1,000
	One	single event is recommend	jed.	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply	О.	0% Reduction		\$0
And the control of the substitute of the control of	Before NC		Offer	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Extraordinary		- 	
	Ordinary	· white and the		
	N/A x_	(mark with x)		
	Notes The Re	spondent does not meet the violation.		
			Violation	n Subtotal \$1,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for this	violation		Statutory Limit Te	st
graphic and the control of the contr				
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$5	Violation Final Per	nalty Total \$1,725
		This violation Final A	assessed Penalty (adjusted	for limits) \$1,725
		rina violation Findi A	locosca i elially (aujusteu	.s. mmo _{/1}

Case ID No Reg. Ent. Reference No							
	a Municipal Solid					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No), 2				Augustian Commission C		Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Descriptio	n No commas or \$						
		(II) (III) (IIII) (III)	emicorationscatemics.communi				
Delayed Cost							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	A STATE OF THE STA		1. 1.11	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	141			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	in/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	eried on the sound			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	i galatera e			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$150	27-Jun-2008	16-Feb-2009	0.64	\$5	n/a	\$5
,	Estimated cos	st for hiring a third	party to estimate cl	osure co	st. Date Required	is the date of the in	
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost		st for hiring a third	party to estimate cl Final Date is the e	osure co stimated intering	st. Date Required date of compliand	is the date of the in ce. one∉time avoided o	vestigation and
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost Disposal	S ANI	st for hiring a third	party to estimate cl Final Date is the e	osure co stimated intering	st. Date Required date of compliand item (except for a	is the date of the ince. one-time avoided of \$0	vestigation and costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel		st for hiring a third	party to estimate cl Final Date is the e	osure co stimated intering	st. Date Required date of compliand tem (except for a \$0 \$0	is the date of the ince. one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	vestigation and costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	S ANI	st for hiring a third	party to estimate cl Final Date is the e	osure co stimated ntering 0.00 0.00	st. Date Required date of compliand item (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0	is the date of the ince. one-time avoided of \$0	vestigation and costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	S ANI	st for hiring a third	party to estimate cl Final Date is the e	osure co stimated intering	st. Date Required date of compliand tem (except for a \$0 \$0	is the date of the ince. one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	vestigation and
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	S ANI	st for hiring a third	party to estimate cl Final Date is the e	osure costimated	st. Date Required date of compliance (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	is the date of the ince. one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	vestigation and sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	S ANI	st for hiring a third	party to estimate cl Final Date is the e	osure co stimated ntering 0.00 0.00 0.00	st. Date Required date of compliance stem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	is the date of the ince. one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	vestigation and costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date 18-Jul-2008 Docket No. 2008-1188-MSW-E	CW
Respondent Joe E. Panagopoulos dba Metro Materials Policy Revision 2 (September	r 2002)
Case ID No. 34227 PCW Revision June 12	2, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105225106	
Media [Statute] Municipal Solid Waste	
Enf. Coordinator Ross Fife Violation Number 3	
Pula Cita(s)	
30 Tex. Admin. Code § 328.5(d)	
Failed to establish and maintain financial assurance for closure of the facility. Specifically,	
Violation Description the Respondent could not provide proof of having financial assurance for 50,000 cubic yards of combustible recyclable material at the time of the investigation.	
yards of combustible recyclable material at the time of the investigation.	
Base Penalty \$1	10,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix	
Harm Release Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual Actual	
Potentia Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor	
Y alsimoation Najor Nocetate Nation Percent 10%	
Matrix 1000/ F45 mile vise and the	
Notes 100% of the rule was not met.	
Adjustment \$9,000	
50 (EUL) 2013 (EUL) 20	4.000
<u>\$</u>	31,000
Violation Events	
Number of Violation Events 1 21 Number of violation days	
daliý	
monthly	1
	1,000
with an x semiannuals	
annual X	
single: event:	

One annual event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	$\neg \neg$
Extraordinary	
Ordinary	
N/A x (mark with x)	
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this	
violation.	ĺ
Violation Subtotal \$	1,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
	4 705
Estimated EB Amount \$2,625 Violation Final Penalty Total \$	1,725
	1

Case ID No. 34227 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105225108 Media Municipal Solid Waste Violation No. 3 Term Cost Solid Waste Term Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Depreciation Solid Waste Term Cost Term	Lase ID No.		-	Materials				
Net								
Notes for DELAYED costs Disposal							Leganistic Andrews (MANAGES (MANAGES)	V
Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs EB Amount	ed a company to the company of the c	•	Waste				Percent Interest	PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF
Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs EB Amount	Violation No.	3	wind throat a consistency or the conflictent willia.	erette en stelle betiefte de tre de cette de de	nt nough talence	charekowskier out (Navisani) Strawe		Depreciation
Tem Description No commas or \$ Delayed Costs							5.0	1:
Delayed Costs		Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs	Item Description	No comman or \$						
Equipment Buildings		THE COMMINAS OF W	7030000130600000000000000000000000000000	HERIO 2008 BUT AND BUT	89940354636596	C509020895955959507076267308	00000 20000 00. 000000 00.0000 014	3.14.3.3.4.4.3.3.3.4.4.4.4.3.4.4.4.4.4.4
Equipment Buildings	Dalayea Goese			enter to travers and the second				
Buildings Cher (as needed)	CARREST SERVICE CONTROL CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. C. CO. CO	727 S. C. 928 S. 628 S. C. 9 C. 9 C. 1	27.710.0000.2000.0000.0000.0000		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed) Engineering/construction Co.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction					0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land Record Keeping System Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs Disposal Personnel					0.00	\$0	\$0	
Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2 1/2 50 1/2		2.7					n/a	
Remediation/Disposal	Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	
Notes for DELAYED costs	Training/Sampling				0.00		n/a	
Notes for DELAYED costs					0.00			
Notes for DELAYED costs					0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$O
Supplies/equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] \$2,500 27-Jun-2007 1.00 \$125 \$2,500 \$2,625 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Notes for DELAYED costs			and the second second				
Financial Assurance [2] \$2,500 27-Jun-2007 27-Jun-2008 1.00 \$125 \$2,500 \$2,625 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANN		ed costs before e	0.00	\$0 - \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	PROPERTY AND		ed costs before e	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$125	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,500	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,625
Estimated cost of obtaining financial assurance for the facility. Date Required is the beginning date of the viola	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	PROBLEM STANF			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
	Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$2,500	27-Jun-2007	27-Jun-2008	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$125 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,500 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,625 \$0 \$0

Screening Dat	e 18-Jul-2008 Docket No. 2008-1188-MSW-E	PCW
Edition in the contract of the	t Joe E. Panagopoulos dba Metro Materials	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No		PCW Revision June 12, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No		
	Municipal Solid Waste	
Enf. Coordinate		
Violation Numb		
Rule Cite(30 Tex. Admin. Code § 328.5(h)	
Violation Descriptio	Failed to have a fire prevention and suppression plan that shall be made available local fire prevention authority having jurisdiction over the facility for review and coordination. Specifically, the Respondent did not have a fire prevention plan at the the investigation.	d
	Base F	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	ind Human Health Matrix. Harm	
Releas		
OR Actu		,
Potenti	Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	M. i. Madanta Minor	
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor X Percent 10%	
	1 Crock 1070	
		1
Matrix	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes	tainki ka maka isi ili a Katika malami	
	Adjustment	\$9,000
		\$1,000
Table 1		
Violation Events		
Al		
Number of	Violation Events 1 21 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event. x	Penalty \$1,000
	One single event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Compl	/ 0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	%\$%c 396
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
an encourage	N/A x (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
		uhtotal 64 000
	Violation St	ubtotal \$1,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estima	ted EB Amount \$420 Violation Final Penalt	y Total \$1,725
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$1,725

Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
der en la	Municipal Solid	Waste				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
ltem Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment		Market States		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	and the second	TECT STATE STATE		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$10,000	27-Jun-2008	1-Feb-2009	0.60	\$20	\$400	\$420
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling		300 00 00		0,00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	- ir ju n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	→ n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		isjilaalikkiji	فأعلفها أأدران والقورق	entering 0.00		one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	<u> </u>
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 1.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	

Compliance History Report

Site Rating: 40.00

Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 40.00 CN601927239 PANAGOPOULOS, JOE E Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: Classification: AVERAGE RN105225106 METRO MATERIALS Regulated Entity: ID Number(s): 8319 POTRANCO RD, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78251 Rating Date: 9/1/2008 Repeat Violator: NO Location: **REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO** TCEQ Region: Date Compliance History Prepared: November 12, 2008 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement November 12, 2003 to November 12, 2008 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Phone: (512) 239-2541 Ross Fife Name: **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No N/A 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. ADMINORDER 2007-1182-MSW-E Effective Date: 02/25/2008 Classification: Major 30 TAC Chapter 328, SubChapter A 328.4(a) Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 328, SubChapter A 328.5(b) Description: Mr. Panagopoulos is alleged to have operated an unauthorized recycling facility, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 328.4(a) and 328.5(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on April 18, 2007. Specifically, Mr. Panagopoulos was operating a brush and mulch recycling facility, without authorization from the TCEQ. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D. 06/05/2007 (561678)E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) Environmental audits. F. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

Н.

J.

N/A Early compliance.

N/A Sites Outside of Texas N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
JOE E. PANAGOPOULOS DBA	§	
METRO MATERIALS,	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN105225106	§	

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-1188-MSW-E

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
("Commission" or "TCEQ") consi	idered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement
action regarding Joe E. Panagopoul	os dba Metro Materials ("Mr. Panagopoulos") under the authority
of TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7 and TE	X. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 361. The Executive Director of
the TCEQ, represented by the Litiga	ation Division, and Mr. Panagopoulos, represented by Paul Seals
of the law firm of Guida, Slavich &	Flores, P.C., presented this agreement to the Commission.

Mr. Panagopoulos understands that he has certain procedural rights at certain points in the enforcement process, including, but not limited to, the right to formal notice of violations, notice of an evidentiary hearing, the right to an evidentiary hearing, and a right to appeal. By entering into this Agreed Order, Mr. Panagopoulos agrees to waive all notice and procedural rights.

It is further understood and agreed that this Agreed Order represents the complete and fully-integrated agreement of the parties. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable. The duties and responsibilities imposed by this Agreed Order are binding upon Mr. Panagopoulos.

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Mr. Panagopoulos owns and operates a brush, mulch, rock, and dirt recycling facility located at 8319 Potranco Road, San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Facility involves the management and/or the disposal of municipal solid waste as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 361.

- 3. During an investigation conducted on June 27, 2008, a TCEQ San Antonio Regional Office investigator documented that Mr. Panagopoulos:
 - a. Failed to comply with Ordering Provision No. 2.b. of TCEQ Agreed Order Docket No. 2007-1182-MSW-E. Specifically, Mr. Panagopoulos failed to obtain authorization to operate as a recycling facility or properly remove all brush, mulch, sand, and gravel from the Facility.
 - b. Failed to provide a written cost estimate, in current dollars, showing the cost of hiring a third party to close the Facility by disposition of all processed and unprocessed materials in accordance with all applicable regulations. Specifically, Mr. Panagopoulos had not submitted a written closure cost estimate to the TCEQ.
 - c. Failed to establish and maintain financial assurance for closure of the Facility. Specifically, Mr. Panagopoulos could not provide proof of having financial assurance for 50,000 cubic yards of combustible recyclable material.
 - d. Failed to have a fire prevention and suppression plan that shall be made available to the local fire prevention authority having jurisdiction over the Facility for review and coordination. Specifically, Mr. Panagopoulos did not have a fire prevention plan.
- 4. Mr. Panagopoulos received notice of the violations on or about July 22, 2008.
- 5. The Executive Director recognizes that Mr. Panagopoulos implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. On January 11, 2009, Mr. Panagopoulos submitted a fire prevention and suppression plan to local fire officials, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(h).
 - b. On March 16, 2009, Mr. Panagopoulos submitted to TCEQ and received approval for a closure cost estimate in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 328.4(a) and 328.5(a).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 1 and 2, Mr. Panagopoulos is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE ch. 7 and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 361 and the rules of the Commission.
- 2. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.a., Mr. Panagopoulos failed to comply with Ordering Provision No. 2.b. of TCEQ Agreed Order Docket No. 2007-1182-MSW-E by failing to obtain authorization to operate as a recycling facility or properly remove all brush, mulch,

- sand, and gravel from the Facility, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 328.4(a) and 328.5(a) and TCEQ Agreed Order Docket No. 2007-1182-MSW-E.
- 3. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.b., Mr. Panagopoulos failed to provide a written cost estimate, in current dollars, showing the cost of hiring a third party to close the Facility by disposition of all processed and unprocessed materials in accordance with all applicable regulations, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(c)(1).
- 4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.c., Mr. Panagopoulos failed to establish and maintain financial assurance for closure of the Facility, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(d).
- As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.d., Mr. Panagopoulos failed to have a fire prevention and suppression plan that shall be made available to the local fire prevention authority having jurisdiction over the Facility for review and coordination, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(h).
- 6. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Mr. Panagopoulos for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction, for violations of rules adopted under such statutes, or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- An administrative penalty in the amount of eight thousand six hundred twenty five dollars 7. (\$8,625.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Agreed Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Water Code § 7.053. Mr. Panagopoulos has paid two hundred sixty dollars (\$260.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of eight thousand three hundred sixty five dollars (\$8,365.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of two hundred thirty nine dollars (\$239.00) each, pursuant to 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.9(a). The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall be remitted not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. If Mr. Panagopoulos fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of Mr. Panagopoulos to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by Mr. Panagopoulos to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.

ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. Mr. Panagopoulos is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of eight thousand six hundred twenty five dollars (\$8,625.00) as set forth in Conclusion of Law No. 7 for violations of TCEQ rules and state statutes. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Panagopoulos's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order completely resolve the violations set forth by this Agreed Order in this action. However, the Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations that are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Joe E. Panagopoulos dba Metro Materials; Docket No. 2008-1188-MSW-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. Mr. Panagopoulos shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, Mr. Panagopoulos shall cease accepting and processing any municipal solid waste at the Facility until in compliance with this Agreed Order.
 - b. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Mr. Panagopoulos shall submit a notice of intent to operate a recycling facility in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 328.4(a) and 328.5(a).
 - c. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Mr. Panagopoulos shall submit documentation, including docket number, that demonstrates acceptable financial assurance for the closure of the Facility in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 328.5(d) to:

Financial Assurance Team, MC 184 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

- d. In lieu of Ordering Provision Nos. 2.b. and 2.c., within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Mr. Panagopoulos shall remove all accumulated materials and dispose of them at an authorized facility.
- e. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Mr. Panagopoulos shall either:
 - i. Submit documentation, including docket number, that demonstrates compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a., 2.b., and 2.c. to:

Municipal Solid Waste Permits Section, MC 124 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

or

ii. Submit written certification as described below and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.d. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Joel Anderson, Waste Section Manager Texas Commission on Environmental Quality San Antonio Regional Office 14250 Judson Road San Antonio, Texas 78233-4480

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Panagopoulos. Mr. Panagopoulos is ordered to give notice of this Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If Mr. Panagopoulos fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. Panagopoulos's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Mr. Panagopoulos has the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. Panagopoulos shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. Panagopoulos becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. Panagopoulos shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. Panagopoulos receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Mr. Panagopoulos if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Panagopoulos has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 7. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 8. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Mr. Panagopoulos in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 9. This Agreed Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreed Order may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.

Joe E. Panagopoulos dba Metro Materials Docket No. 2008-1188-MSW-E Page 7

The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties. Pursuant to 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Mr. Panagopoulos, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Mr. Panagopoulos, whichever is earlier.

Joe E. Panagopoulos dba Metro Materials Docket No. 2008-1188-MSW-E Page 8

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTA	AL QUALITY
For the Commission For the Executive Director	10/9/2009 Date
Panagopoulos dba Metro Materials. I represent the Order on behalf of Joe E. Panagopoulos dba Metro	e attached Agreed Order in the matter of Joe E. nat I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed o Materials, and do agree to the specified terms and), in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is
I also understand that failure to comply with the timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:	Ordering Provisions in this order and/or failure to
 penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collect Increased penalties in any future enforcemen 	ubmitted by Mr. Panagopoulos; s office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional ion agency; t actions against Mr. Panagopoulos; Office of any future enforcement actions against
In addition, I understand that any falsification of prosecution. Signature	any compliance documents may result in criminal Sept. 1, 2009 Date
Name (printed or typed)	Title